



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,910	03/01/2002	Akiyoshi Hashimoto	H-1039	7123

7590

03/14/2006

MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.
Suite 370
1800 Diagonal Road
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

SHERKAT, AREZOO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/084,910	Applicant(s) HASHIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Arezoo Sherkat	Art Unit 2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arezoo Sherkat.

(3) John R. Mattingly.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 February 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In an effort to clarify the invention, Applicant's representative, Mr. Mattingly, discussed the limitations of claim 1 in comparison to limitations of the prior art of record. Examiner indicated that Applicant would have to file a formal response with arguments highlighting the "alleged" differences between Applicant's claimed invention and the prior art of record. Examiner additionally indicated that due to not having signatory authority, the Examiner was not in the position to make a decision on the case. However, upon filing a formal response, the Office would then be able to review the application in light of Applicant's arguments and formal response. No agreement was reached as for the allowability of the discussed claim. Applicant's representative further stated that a Request for Continued Examination along with an IDS will be filed for further consideration. .